Global Geopolitics: Multipolarity and Strategic Rivalries

Global Power Shifts and Multipolarity

Global power is increasingly diffused. Analysts note a shift from a post–Cold War unipolar U.S. order toward a *multipolar* system, with China and other powers gaining influence weforum.org csis.org . This transition brings "tremendous volatility and uncertainty," as the war in Ukraine has both strengthened NATO and simultaneously hardened anti-Western positions in the Global South weforum.org . In the most likely scenario through 2030, experts foresee a
"loose multipolarity" where U.S. and Chinese power is balanced by other major states (India, Japan, Germany, etc.) and new centers of influence csis.org . At the same time, regions like Russia, Iran, and North Korea continue to challenge the status quo. In short, the 21st-century order is fragmenting into multiple power centers, each jockeying for advantage.

Major Power Players

United States

The U.S. remains the world's preeminent military power, but its global role is under active debate. Washington continues to reinforce alliances in Asia and Europe. For example, the AUKUS partnership (Australia–UK–US) is expanding beyond submarines into a broader technology alliance in the Indo-Pacific rusi.org . At home, the 2024 election outcome (and first actions of the new administration in 2025) have already shown rapid policy shifts. In January 2025, the administration withdrew the U.S. from the World Health Organization and Paris climate accord, paused most foreign aid, and ordered comprehensive reviews of trade policy with China cfr.org cfr.org . These moves signal a more transactional American approach to global issues. Meanwhile, analysts warn that whatever the party in power, the next U.S. leadership will face "tremendous volatility" on the world stage weforum.org . Key uncertainties include U.S.–China competition in trade and technology, Middle East conflicts, and ongoing support for Ukraine.

China

China's rise continues to reshape geopolitics. Beijing is pursuing a more security-heavy "dual circulation" economy and heavy investment in advanced tech and military capabilities. A recent Asia Society report emphasizes that China is "pivoting to the Global South" – boosting aid, trade, and even deploying military/security forces to Africa and Asia to secure resources and allies asiasociety.org . For example, it notes China offered more development aid and sent its military/police to African countries in 2024. Domestically, China faces slower growth, debt overhang, and social trust deficits, which may limit aggressive foreign adventures asiasociety.org . However, Beijing is also watching political events abroad: the Asia Society report forecasts that elections in Taiwan (January 2024) and the U.S. (November 2024) will "greatly complicate" Chinese foreign policy asiasociety.org . In practice, China has frozen official ties with Taiwan and stepped up military pressure, while preparing contingencies for a possible U.S. policy reset asiasociety.org . On technology, U.S. export controls have disrupted China's chip industry, but analysts note that China's grip on **critical minerals and mature chip production**
(like rare-earth elements and older semiconductors) poses a risk to global supply chains if Beijing retaliates fpri.org . In summary, China remains intent on securing resources and influence worldwide, even as it balances domestic constraints.

Russia

Russia, despite heavy setbacks from the Ukraine war and sanctions, remains a major power player. NATO's Washington summit (July 2024) declared Russia "the most significant and direct threat to Allies' security" nato.int . Russian forces continue fighting in Ukraine, and Moscow is rapidly rebuilding its military capacity. Analysts warn that even a *partially* reconstituted Russian military will pose a "significant…threat" to NATO in coming years rand.org . Russia has also diversified its foreign engagements. As NATO leaders note, the **Russia–China partnership** is a growing concern: both Moscow and Beijing are "mutually reinforcing attempts to undercut and reshape the rules-based international order" nato.int . In practice, after the June 2023 Wagner coup, Russia brought its African mercenary projects under direct state control. A BBC investigation finds that Moscow now offers African governments a "regime survival package" in exchange for mining rights, with Russia's "expeditionary corps" (former Wagner forces) active in Libya, Burkina Faso, Central African Republic, Mali, and Niger bbc.com . This shift – "the Russian state coming out of the shadows in its Africa policy" bbc.com – highlights how Russia leverages military and resource diplomacy. Economically, sanctions bite hard. One Brookings analysis finds that Western embargoes have made "the Russian economy less efficient" and are steadily eroding its resilience brookings.edu brookings.edu . Looking ahead, Russia is expected to deepen its Eurasian partnerships (with China and Iran), press military pressure in its near abroad, and remain fixated on Ukraine and regime survival.

European Union

The EU as a bloc is recalibrating toward security and strategic autonomy. Europe has backed Ukraine strongly, mobilized aid, and begun massive energy and defense shifts. For example, EU countries have rapidly diversified energy away from Russia (building LNG terminals and pipelines) and boosted defense spending (raising NATO contributions from ~1.2% to near 1.6% of GDP). At NATO's Washington summit, European allies reaffirmed the "iron-clad" Article 5 collective defense pledge nato.int and agreed to provide at least €40 billion in security assistance to Ukraine nato.int . On China, Brussels is hedging: the EU is Europe's largest trading partner, keeping its market open to Chinese goods, even as European leaders warn Beijing against supporting Russia's war merics.org . Europeans are also investing in technology independence (e.g. Critical Raw Materials Act) and holding greater scrutiny over Chinese acquisitions. Internally, the EU faces political divisions (e.g. varying views on migration and China). Overall, the EU is balancing between strengthening Western alliances and carving out an autonomous path on climate, trade, and digital policies.

Strategic Rivalries

U.S.–China Competition

The U.S. and China remain locked in a broad strategic rivalry across economics, technology, and security. Economically, the U.S. is trying to "decouple" certain supply chains (like semiconductors) from China, while also pressing allies to resist Chinese trade coercion. China, for its part, is seeking new markets and finance partners (e.g. Belt and Road and expanded BRICS membership) to reduce dependence on the dollar. In technology, the competition is fierce: American controls on advanced chips have disrupted China's industry, but Chinese leadership emphasizes AI and 5G/6G innovation as national priorities fpri.org atlanticcouncil.org . Security-wise, both countries are building alliances. The U.S. has strengthened ties with democracies (Quad and AUKUS in Asia; NATO and partners in Europe) to counter China's influence. China counters with its own partnerships in Asia, Africa, and Latin America (e.g. military drills, port leases, infrastructure projects) and a strong relationship with Russia. Analysts warn that technology is a new battleground: both superpowers see AI and emerging tech as critical to future power. (For example, NATO observers note opportunities to partner with Asian allies on AI and cyber defense rand.org .) In sum, U.S.–China rivalry is the defining axis of the era, affecting nearly every region and industry.

NATO, Russia, and the Transatlantic Alliance

NATO remains the premier security framework for Europe and North America. The Russia–Ukraine war has reinvigorated the alliance: Nordic countries Finland and Sweden have joined NATO, and members are raising defense budgets. At Washington in July 2024, NATO leaders vowed an “iron-clad” defense of every ally nato.int and established a new Security Assistance and Training Framework for Ukraine (NSATU) with at least €40 billion of aid nato.int . They also warned that Russia’s nuclear and hybrid threats will persist nato.int . NATO now explicitly views Russia as the top threat, and increasingly flags China’s role too, noting the “deepening strategic partnership” between Moscow and Beijing as worrisome nato.int . Meanwhile, the alliance is experimenting with global partnerships: NATO has opened dialogue with AsiaPacific democracies (Japan, South Korea, Australia, New Zealand) on joint defense issues and technology rand.org . This signal from NATO’s leadership is clear – they see transatlantic and Indo-Pacific security as interconnected. Other rivalries also matter: for example, Iran, North Korea, and even non-state forces (terrorist groups, militias) are seen as asymmetric threats by the alliance. In sum, NATO is rebuilding a unified front against revisionist powers, even as it must manage internal pressures (economic strains, political divisions).

Other Focal Rivalries

Beyond the U.S.–China and NATO–Russia axes, several regional rivalries shape geopolitics. In South Asia, India and China remain wary neighbors: their 2020 border clashes led India to deepen ties with the U.S. and Quad partners. Yet both seek stability (India has engaged China in forums like BRICS). In the Middle East, rivalries (Iran vs. Saudi Arabia, Israel vs. Iran/Hezbollah, Sunni vs. Shia blocs) have fluctuated. Notably, China brokered a 2023 Saudi–Iran détente, which appears to be holding through 2024 atlanticcouncil.org . If this thaw lasts, it could realign Gulf politics (e.g. smoother OPEC cooperation, less proxy conflict). Indo-Pak tensions (especially over Kashmir) and internal strains in places like Afghanistan also remain significant. These and other competitions are often interwoven: for instance, Iran’s regional influence is amplified by its relationships with Russia and China, even as it negotiates on the nuclear issue. In essence, many of today’s conflicts have “great-power proxies,” so regional rivalries increasingly involve the U.S., China, or Russia as sponsors or adversaries.

Critical Regions

Indo-Pacific

The Indo-Pacific is now a central focus of great-power competition. The U.S. has formally shifted strategy toward this region, forging alliances like AUKUS (now negotiating expansion of its technology-sharing "Pillar 2" with more partners rusi.org) and reviving the Quad framework. These aim to present a united front for a "free and rules-based Indo-Pacific." China, meanwhile, has expanded its military footprint in the South China Sea (island bases, missile deployments) and sought to influence Pacific Island nations through infrastructure and aid. Nearby flashpoints – notably Taiwan – threaten major conflict. Experts warn the PLA's modernization, coupled with internal vigilance measures in Taiwan, means that a crisis over Taiwan is the region's most dangerous potential hotspot. Beyond China and the U.S., key countries like Japan, India, Australia, and ASEAN states are all recalibrating policy: Japan and Australia have boosted defense spending and cooperated more closely with the U.S., while India balances Beijing with its own strategic autonomy. Trade also plays a role: the Indo-Pacific contains major trade blocs (RCEP, CPTPP) and growth markets. In sum, any confrontation or accommodation between Washington and Beijing will largely play out here, making this region the epicenter of future security and economic contests.

Middle East and North Africa

The Middle East remains volatile, with 2024 marked by both upheaval and diplomatic shifts. The war between Israel and Hamas continued into 2024 with great human and economic costs, and spilled over at times: Iran launched ballistic missiles into Israel in October, drawing Israeli airstrikes on Iranian assets, and Israel and Hezbollah exchanged fire in Lebanon. This "raised concerns that the Middle East was teetering on the brink of a devastating wider regional war" atlanticcouncil.org . Elsewhere, conflicts simmer: Yemen's civil war (with Houthi attacks on Red Sea shipping) and Syria's long war (with Turkish incursions) add to instability. On the upside, last year saw major diplomatic moves: China-brokered Saudi–Iran normalization in 2023 has held through 2024 atlanticcouncil.org , reducing one decades-old rival feud. U.S. policy has refocused on the region too (a recent administration visited multiple Arab states), though with mixed results. The great powers' roles differ: Russia remains involved (military advisors in Syria, arms sales to Iran and Egypt), the U.S. has troops and bases (albeit less than in the 2000s), and China is increasing economic ties (investments, oil purchases) but is not deeply engaged in security. Going forward, the MENA region's geopolitics will hinge on the outcome of current wars (e.g. Gaza ceasefire or escalation), Iran's nuclear deal prospects (which affect Saudi actions), and the expanding roles of external powers in counterterrorism and energy markets.

Eastern Europe (Ukraine and Neighbors)

Eastern Europe's security environment is dominated by the Russia–Ukraine war. Ukraine has received unprecedented Western support (military aid, financial credit) and as noted NATO pledged €40 billion for Ukraine's defense in the next year nato.int . Moscow has turned Ukraine's fate into a core national mission, evident in its enlarged arms production. Analysts warn that once Russian production peaks (projected around 2025–26), there will be a high risk of new aggression against NATO if deterrence falters atlanticcouncil.org . Meanwhile, neighboring states have braced: Finland and Sweden have joined NATO, the Baltic countries have expanded forces, and Central Europe (Poland, Hungary, Czech Republic) remains on high alert. Energy politics also factor here: Europe's ban on Russian oil and gas has made it seek alternatives (U.S. LNG, Middle East hydrocarbons), while Ukraine aims to become a regional energy hub via pipelines. The broader effect is a harder East-West divide reminiscent of Cold War lines. Looking ahead, the main question is the war's outcome – whether it freezes into a prolonged stalemate or escalates – and how Europe will sustain unity in supporting Ukraine. The forecasts suggest that even after combat ends, a Western military presence in Eastern Europe will remain as long as Russian forces occupy Ukrainian land.

Africa

Africa's geopolitical landscape is being reshaped by great-power competition and its own internal trends. Economically, China is dominant: its Belt and Road projects and trade now make it Africa's largest partner (2023 trade volume ~$282 billion, roughly four times U.S. trade) theelephant.info . This sway comes with financing infrastructure and supplying goods, but also with debt concerns. Russia's influence has grown via security cooperation: the takeover of Wagner's African deals by Russian military intelligence shows Moscow's willingness to support African governments (often after coups) in exchange for resource access bbc.com . For example, Gen. Andrey Averyanov (ex-GRU) secured mining rights from Mali, CAR, Niger, etc. Meanwhile, Western engagement has waxed and waned: the U.S. and EU still provide aid and investment, but often less than China. Internal factors also loom large: more than a dozen African countries face food and water stress, and climate change is a major pressure. UN data show over 120 million people forcibly displaced globally by mid-2024 data.unhcr.org , many in or from Africa (e.g. Sudan and DRC conflicts drove massive displacements data.unhcr.org). The continent's young demographics (under-25s make up ~60% of population) and resource wealth make it both an opportunity and a tinderbox. Regional institutions (like the African Union) are seeking unity, and projects like the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) aim to boost intra-African commerce. But great-power competition is palpable: leaders balance China's investment, Russian security ties, and Western aid while facing local insurgencies and climate shocks.

In parts of Africa, public sentiment is visibly pro-Russia. For example, a 2023 protest in the Central African Republic carried banners declaring "Russia is Wagner; we love Russia and we love Wagner." Such scenes highlight how deeply Russian security influence (via the former Wagner group) has taken root in some states bbc.com.

Energy and Resource Geopolitics

Energy and natural resources are key levers of power. The 2022–23 crises taught that oil and gas markets are highly politicized: Europe's move to cut off Russian fossil fuels (due to Ukraine war) has forced major investments in renewables, LNG imports (notably from the U.S. and Qatar), and energy-saving measures. Russia still sells oil to countries like China and India, giving it crucial revenue despite sanctions. The Middle East remains vital: Saudi Arabia and OPEC+ decisions (often with Russia's backing) sway global oil prices. In this mix, **critical minerals** have become strategic. China dominates processing of rare earths, lithium, cobalt, and other minerals used in batteries, semiconductors, and renewable tech. Analysts warn that China's grip (it refines 85–90% of rare-earths and most battery materials) is a vulnerability for other countries. For instance, a U.S. FPRI study notes China's dominance in rare earths and chip production "poses a potential threat to global supply chains" if Beijing restricts exports fpri.org. The U.S. and allies are investing in alternative supplies (mining, recycling, subsidies) to mitigate this. Water and food are also emerging resource issues: water scarcity (especially in the Middle East, North Africa, and parts of Asia) could exacerbate conflicts and migration, though experts debate the extent.

Global Trade and Economic Influence

Economic influence is shifting. Emerging markets (notably BRICS countries) collectively comprise a growing share of world GDP: one analysis notes they now account for over a third of global GDP (PPP basis) carnegieendowment.org . The 2024 BRICS summit expanded membership to include Egypt, Ethiopia, Iran, the UAE (and soon Indonesia), raising the bloc's population to ~45% of the world and its GDP share above 35% carnegieendowment.org . BRICS nations have launched parallel institutions (like the New Development Bank) and are openly discussing a new common currency to reduce dollar reliance. Despite this, the dollar remains dominant: the IMF reports the dollar still makes up ~58% of global reserves (as of Q4 2024) data.imf.org . Efforts at "de-dollarization" by BRICS have so far made only small dents. Meanwhile, the U.S. and EU wield economic power through sanctions and trade policy. Sanctions on Russia have cut off much of its finance and technology imports, aiming to debilitate Putin's war economy brookings.edu brookings.edu . Sanctions and tariffs have also been tools in U.S.–China tensions (e.g. Section 301 tariffs, export controls on semiconductors). On the trade front, significant deals are reshaping linkages: the Indo-Pacific region now hosts large free-trade areas (RCEP, CPTPP) led by Asia, while initiatives like the Belt and Road span dozens of countries. Protectionist trends exist too – for instance, a new U.S. infrastructure act ties climate goals to trade access (the European net-zero steel issue). Overall, global trade is more regionalized, with China–Asia, trans-Pacific (US-Japan), and intra-Eurasia circuits complementing (and sometimes bypassing) traditional Europe–North America channels.

At the 2024 BRICS summit (pictured), members discussed expanded cooperation and institutions. The growth of BRICS (now including Middle Eastern states and soon Indonesia) reflects a push for a "postWestern" economic order. Together, BRICS+ partners represent roughly 45% of the world's population and over 35% of global GDP carnegieendowment.org, signaling their rising collective economic weight.

Military Alliances and Security Frameworks

Established alliances are adapting and new ones are forming. **NATO** has renewed its core mission: European members collectively reaffirmed massive defense spending increases and set up new commands (e.g. a logistics hub in Hungary) to deter Russia. At the same time, NATO has broadened partnerships: for example, its Washington summit highlighted cooperation with Asia-Pacific democracies on emerging challenges. **AUKUS** (Australia, UK, US) continues to evolve beyond nuclear submarines into shared technology and AI projects. A recent analysis emphasizes that AUKUS's "Pillar 2" on tech is likely to expand to more U.S. allies, making it an inclusive Indo-Pacific security coalition rusi.org . **The Quad** (India, Japan, US, Australia) likewise remains a flexible platform for security and infrastructure cooperation. In Eurasia, the **Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO)** (led by China and Russia) has enlarged its membership (including Iran) and conducts joint military exercises, signaling a counterweight to Western alliances. In the Middle East, security pacts ebb: some Gulf states are reshuffling their alliances (e.g. normalization with Israel by some, China's mediation of Arab–Iran relations). Africa saw the birth of African Union defense initiatives (e.g. an African Standby Force concept), though these remain nascent. In summary, the world's military architecture is broadening – traditional alliances remain central, but new groupings (AUKUS, SCO, expanded BRICS cooperation) are emerging, reflecting a more complex security network.

Climate Change and Migration as Geopolitical Pressures

Environmental change is an increasingly critical factor. Climate impacts – from more severe droughts to rising seas – are straining many regions. For example, prolonged drought in the Horn of Africa and Sahel has exacerbated humanitarian crises, potentially fueling instability. The United Nations reports record levels of forced displacement: by mid-2024 over 122 million people were forcibly displaced worldwide data.unhcr.org , mainly due to conflict but often worsened by climate-induced disasters. These migration flows can destabilize neighbors and create refugee crises, which in turn affect international relations. Governments are also viewing climate itself as a security issue: military planners in many countries now consider climate change a "threat multiplier" that may spark resource conflicts or require humanitarian interventions. We may see more geopolitics around water (such as tension over Nile or Mekong dams) and food (export restrictions in crises). At the same time, climate policy is shaping alliances: for instance, renewable energy cooperation is part of Biden and EU agenda. Over the next decade, climate change will continue to intersect with geopolitics: small changes (e.g. ice melt opening Arctic sea routes, Sahel desertification) could have outsized strategic effects, and migration pressures will likely grow unless mitigated by international action.

Cybersecurity, Disinformation, and Tech Competition

New domains of conflict have surged. States and non-state actors are waging cyber campaigns to steal information, disrupt infrastructure, and influence public opinion. Russia and China (and groups like Iran's hackers or North Korea's cyber troops) regularly probe Western networks; NATO has declared cyberspace as its fifth domain of warfare. Disinformation is also a key tool: major social-media campaigns, often linked to foreign governments, have tried to sway elections, spread doubt on vaccines, and justify wars. For example, Russian outlets and proxies continue to push narratives justifying the Ukraine invasion, while Chinese media defends its policies on Taiwan and Xinjiang. In technology, the U.S. and China are in a race for dominance in AI, quantum computing, and 6G. Both see these technologies as critical for economic and military power. The Global South is a contested ground here: China is offering AI surveillance tools and digital infrastructure to developing countries, whereas Western powers emphasize privacy and "values-based" tech partnerships atlanticcouncil.org . Semiconductor manufacturing remains a chokepoint: the West is trying to build on-shore capacity (e.g. U.S. CHIPS Act, EU Chips Act) to avoid overdependence on Asia. Overall, tech competition – from AI ethics to space and biothreats – is redefining security. As one NATO analysis put it, how the alliance integrates AI and other emerging technologies "will determine its future warfighting edge" rand.org .

Looking Ahead: 2025–2035 Forecasts

While many uncertainties remain, several trends are plausible for the next 5–10 years. **Multipolar competition will harden.** The U.S.-China rivalry is likely to persist, with no full reconciliation. Tensions over Taiwan and the South China Sea may flare again if one side miscalculates. Other powers (India, Japan, Brazil, Gulf states) will continue to assert independent strategies, blunting any single hegemon's control. **Alliances will adapt.** NATO will likely retain its central role in the Atlantic and may deepen ties with Asia-Pacific democracies. AUKUS and the Quad may expand partnerships, possibly even coalescing into a broader Indo-Pacific security network. Russia will probably keep its near abroad (Ukraine and Caucasus) under pressure, but may seek new accommodations if exhausted by sanctions. The EU might evolve toward a common defense posture. **Economic blocs may shift balance.** BRICS+ could grow if many Global South countries join, but its cohesion is uncertain (regional disputes like India-China will strain it). Sanctions and economic warfare will remain key tools: the West will keep banning goods and services (as with Russia and certain Chinese tech), while adversaries will seek alternate trade routes (digital trade, barter arrangements, or regional currency settlements). The dollar's dominance may gradually decline, but slowly – e.g. more yuan and local-currency trade in Asia/Africa is likely, but a new reserve currency would face huge hurdles data.imf.org . **Energy geopolitics will evolve.** Fossil fuels may decrease in relative importance as renewables grow, but oil and gas will still matter in the near term. Energy-rich states (Gulf, U.S., Russia) will use their resources for leverage, just as technological infrastructure (5G networks, data centers) becomes another sphere of influence. Climate change impacts (extreme weather, sea-level rise) will increasingly influence security planning – possibly leading to new migration flows and resource conflicts. Finally, **technology and cybersecurity** will grow as frontiers of competition: expect major powers to invest heavily in quantum, AI, and space, and to defend (and attack) critical cyber infrastructure. Disinformation will remain rampant, requiring international efforts to safeguard information.

In sum, the world by 2030 is likely to be multipolar and contested. The United States, China, and Russia (and to a lesser extent the EU) will still be the most powerful players, but coalition-building will matter as much as raw power. Energy and critical materials will be strategic resources in the green-tech era, and alliances – both traditional (NATO, GCC) and new (BRICS, Quad) – will shape the balance. Environmental and technological changes will layer additional pressures on these relations. Policymakers will need to manage not only zero-sum rivalries, but also transnational challenges that cross borders. What is clear is that the "rules-based international order" of the late 20th century is under strain, and whether it evolves through conflict or adaptation will depend on choices in the coming years weforum.org csis.org .

Sources: Analysis is drawn from think tanks and news sources including CSIS, RUSI, Atlantic Council, Carnegie Endowment, NATO and UN reports, and major outlets weforum.org csis.org rusi.org nato.int cfr.org asiasociety.org fpri.org bbc.com data.imf.org carnegieendowment.org data.unhcr.org (among others). These reflect consensus views as of 2024–2025 on global strategic trends and futures.

Citas

The role of geopolitics in a multipolar world | World Economic Forum https://www.weforum.org/stories/2024/05/why-geopolitics-matters-more-than-ever-in-a-multipolarworld/

Four Scenarios for Geopolitical Order in 2025-2030: What Will Great Power Compet... https://www.csis.org/analysis/four-scenarios-geopolitical-order-2025-2030-what-will-great-powercompetition-look

Expanding AUKUS Pillar 2: An Inclusive Indo-Pacific Alliance Structure | Royal Unite... https://www.rusi.org/explore-our-research/publications/commentary/expanding-aukus-pillar-2inclusive-indo-pacific-alliance-structure

Donald Trump's Disruptive First Days | Council on Foreign Relations https://www.cfr.org/blog/donald-trumps-disruptive-first-days

Donald Trump's Disruptive First Days | Council on Foreign Relations https://www.cfr.org/blog/donald-trumps-disruptive-first-days

https://asiasociety.org/sites/default/files/2024-01/China2024_webreport_fin.pdf

https://asiasociety.org/sites/default/files/2024-01/China2024_webreport_fin.pdf

https://asiasociety.org/sites/default/files/2024-01/China2024_webreport_fin.pdf

Breaking the Circuit: US-China Semiconductor Controls - Foreign Policy Research In... https://www.fpri.org/article/2024/09/breaking-the-circuit-us-china-semiconductor-controls/

NATO - Official text: Washington Summit Declaration issued by NATO Heads of Stat... https://www.nato.int/cps/en/natohq/official_texts_227678.htm

NATO Summit in Washington: RAND Experts Weigh In | RAND https://www.rand.org/pubs/commentary/2024/07/nato-summit-in-washington-rand-experts-weighin.html

NATO - Official text: Washington Summit Declaration issued by NATO Heads of Stat... https://www.nato.int/cps/en/natohq/official_texts_227678.htm

Wagner in Africa: How the Russian mercenary group has rebranded https://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-68322230

Wagner in Africa: How the Russian mercenary group has rebranded
https://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-68322230

B

https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/20240528_ES_Sanctions_Kluge_Final.pdf

B

https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/20240528_ES_Sanctions_Kluge_Final.pdf

NATO - Official text: Washington Summit Declaration issued by NATO Heads of Stat... https://www.nato.int/cps/en/natohq/official_texts_227678.htm

NATO - Official text: Washington Summit Declaration issued by NATO Heads of Stat... https://www.nato.int/cps/en/natohq/official_texts_227678.htm

Relations between the EU and China: what to watch for in 2024 | Merics
https://merics.org/en/merics-briefs/relations-between-eu-and-china-what-watch-2024

Assessing US-China tech competition in the Global South - Atlantic Council
https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/content-series/strategic-insights-memos/assessing-us-china-techcompetition-in-the-global-south/

NATO Summit in Washington: RAND Experts Weigh In | RAND
https://www.rand.org/pubs/commentary/2024/07/nato-summit-in-washington-rand-experts-weighin.html

NATO - Official text: Washington Summit Declaration issued by NATO Heads of Stat... https://www.nato.int/cps/en/natohq/official_texts_227678.htm

A year ago, Beijing brokered an Iran-Saudi deal. How does détente look today? - At... https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/iransource/iran-saudi-arabia-china-deal-one-year/

2024: A year in the Middle East - Atlantic Council
https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/menasource/2024-a-year-in-the-middle-east/

NATO-Russia dynamics: Prospects for reconstitution of Russian military power - Atl... https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/in-depth-research-reports/report/nato-russia-dynamics-prospects-forreconstitution-of-russian-military-power/

PAUL TIYAMBE ZELEZA - Africa's Geopolitical Recalibrations in a Multipolar World - ...
https://www.theelephant.info/analysis/2025/03/12/africas-geopolitical-recalibrations-in-a-multipolarworld/

Document - UNHCR - 2024 Mid-Year Trends report - October 2024

https://data.unhcr.org/en/documents/details/111704

BRICS Expansion and the Future of World Order: Perspectives from Member States, …

https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2025/03/brics-expansion-and-the-future-of-world-orderperspectives-from-member-states-partners-and-aspirants?lang=en

IMF Data Brief: Currency Composition of Official Foreign Exchange Reserves

https://data.imf.org/en/news/4225global%20fx%20reserves%20decreased%20by%203%20percent%20in%deliveryName=FCP_7_DM16206

NATO Summit in Washington: RAND Experts Weigh In | RAND

https://www.rand.org/pubs/commentary/2024/07/nato-summit-in-washington-rand-experts-weighin.html

Todas las fuentes

weforum csis rusi cfr asiasociety fpri nato rand

bbc brookings merics atlanticcouncil theelephant data.unhcr

carnegieendowment data.imf